Detection of Tuberculosis in HIV Co-infected Individuals: Use of Multiple ELISA Responses to 38kDa, Lipoarabinomannan and ESAT– 6 of *M. tuberculosis*

RAVI GUTLAPALLI¹, APARNA SYKAM², SANDEEP P TENALI³, PRISCILLA CHANDRAN⁴, SUJAI SUNEETHA⁵, LAVANYA M SUNEETHA⁶

ABSTRACT

Biotechnology Section

Introduction: There is a constant search for more sensitive and specific laboratory markers for tuberculosis (TB) infection. The early detection of TB in HIV co infected individuals is a diagnostic challenge. This is further compounded in those harbouring extrapulmonary disease.

Aim: To evaluate the use of multiple Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assays (ELISA) quantifying antibody responses to 38kDa, LAM and ESAT-6 M.tb antigens in detection of TB in patients with TB and HIV-TB co-infection.

Materials and Methods: This is a cross-sectional study carried out in Hyderabad, India. Patient groups included 124 HIV-TB {62 with pulmonary TB (PTB) and 62 with extrapulmonary TB (ETB)}, 39 TB, 56 HIV and 57 healthy subjects (HS). A combination of anti 38kDa and LAM ELISAs measuring IgG, IgM and IgA levels and another ELISA measuring anti ESAT-6 combined antibody levels of IgG, IgM and IgA were evaluated. One-way ANOVA was performed to compare antibody responses among groups. To assess the efficacy of multiple ELISAs in detecting TB, concomitant seropositivity of an individual for all four ELISAs were evaluated for sensitivity and specificity.

Results: A single ELISA carried out to detect TB in HIV patients showed a sensitivity ranging from 39% to 72%. The sensitivities of concomitant evaluation of multiple ELISAs were 92% for any single, 72% for any two, 44% for any three and 14% for any four. Based on the specificities, a simple algorithm for TB detection can be deduced. When four ELISAs are positive (specificity 100%) in a patient-confirmed TB; when three ELISAs are positive (specificity 98%) – probably TB; when two ELISAs are positive (specificity 95%) – possibly TB; and when one ELISA is positive (specificity 70%) – suspicion of TB.

Conclusion: The present study establishes the value of combining two or more M.tb antigen based ELISAs to enhance the sensitivity and specificity of TB detection in patients with tuberculosis as well as in those co-infected with HIV.

Keywords: Extrapulmonary TB, HIV-TB diagnosis, Pulmonary TB, TB test sensitivity

INTRODUCTION

The global burden of TB in the world is estimated to be 9.3 million out of which India contributes 2.6 million [1]. India bears the third largest burden of HIV-TB due to high TB infections in HIV individuals [2]. The diagnosis of pulmonary TB is based on clinical signs and symptoms along with laboratory tools such as sputum microscopy, culture and radiography. Extrapulmonary TB, depending on the site of involvement usually requires additional diagnostic tools such as fine needle aspiration cytology (FNAC) of the suspected tissues, biopsy, culture and imaging (Ultrasound/CT/MRI). The diagnosis of TB in HIV co-infected individuals continues to be a challenge, with sensitivity ranging from 33.7% to 74.4% in various serological tests [3,4]. Various Mycobacterium tuberculosis (M.tb) antigens have been well characterized and have been used in TB detection assays [5-7] and there is ongoing research on multiple antigen based serological assays [8-10]. World Health Organization (WHO) recommends further targeted research on serological tests for TB detection [11] keeping in view the simplicity, feasibility and cost effectiveness of serological tests. The present study measured the antibody response to 38kDa, LAM and ESAT-6, in patients with TB and HIV-TB co-infection and also evaluated the sensitivity of TB detection using multiple ELISA tests. This study was carried out in view of the significant morbidity and mortality of TB per se as well as among a sizable proportion of HIV co-infected individuals in India as well as its wider application to other countries endemic for TB [12]. The 38kDa protein of M.tb is a phosphate binding protein that produces B and T cell responses that are highly specific to TB [13–16]. Lipoarabinomannan (LAM) is a glycolipid that induces mycobacteria specific immune responses [17]. Early secretory antigenic target-6 (ESAT-6) is a 6kDa protein of M.tb and is a potent immunogen [18].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study setting and Ethics: Patients were recruited from the outpatient clinic of Nireekshana ACET and the Government Chest Hospital, Hyderabad, India. The study was approved by the institutional ethics committee of Nireekshana ACET. Informed consent was obtained from the subjects prior to their participation in the study. Blood was collected from the patients before starting treatment.

Diagnosis of TB: Pulmonary TB (PTB) was bacteriologically confirmed by sputum smear positivity, and or chest X-ray positivity, and clinical examination. Extrapulmonary TB (ETB) patient detection and categorization was as per guidelines by the Governmental Revised National Tuberculosis Control Programme (RNTCP) [19]. ETB included patients with one or two organ sites of the body infected without lung involvement. Individuals with clinical symptoms of ETB underwent the following routine diagnostic tests; sputum microscopy, culture for certain cases (according to RNTCP), chest X-ray, fine needle aspiration cytology (FNAC) of the suspected tissues, biopsy and imaging (CT/MRI). Subjects were included in the study if they were positive by one or more of the diagnostic tests.

Diagnosis of HIV: HIV-1 status was confirmed by three immunoassays according to the National Integrated Counseling and Testing Programme by National AIDS Control Organization (NACO) India, after appropriate pretest counseling. These include two enzyme immunoassays (EIA and Comb AIDS-RS, Span Diagnostics, India) and one spot test (HIV TRI-DOT, J. Mitra & Co,

India) for 3 different HIV specific antigens according to NACO [20]. All the study subjects included in the study were screened for HIV.

The study subjects are described in detail below: HIV-TB co-infected subjects (HIV-TB): This group had a total number of 124 HIV-TB subjects, sub grouped into HIV-Pulmonary TB (HIV-PTB) and HIV-extrapulmonary TB (HIV-ETB). HIV-PTB included 62 seropositive individuals (Males 46, Females 16) who were co-infected with pulmonary tuberculosis, with a median age of 32 years. Of the 62 patients, 39 were sputum positive and 23 were confirmed by chest X-ray and clinical examination. The mean CD4 count of patients in this subgroup was 251±137 cells/ mm³.

The HIV extrapulmonary TB (HIV-ETB) included 62 seropositive individuals (48 males and 14 females) who were co-infected with extrapulmonary tuberculosis, with a median age of 33 years. HIV-ETB included patients with TB lymphadenitis (n=26), pleural effusions (n=17), abdominal TB (n=7), cervical & lingual TB (n=7), miliary TB (n=3), TB spine (n=1) and meningial TB (n=1). The mean CD4 count of patients in this subgroup was 237 ± 130 cells/ mm³.

Tuberculosis subjects (TB): This group included 39 individuals with TB (25 males and 14 females) with a median age of 31 years. There were 27 PTB patients and 12 ETB patients. All the TB subjects of this study were also screened for HIV as a cross referral mandatory test after appropriate pre-test counseling and were seronegative [19,20].

HIV seropositive subjects (HIV): This group consisted of 56 individuals (34 males; 22 females) with a median age of 33 years. Their mean CD4 count was 421±165 cells/ mm3. These patients had no signs or symptoms suggestive of TB and were followed up for one year with no clinical manifestation of TB.

Healthy subjects (HS): A total of 57 HS (31 males and 26 females) with a median age of 39 years were recruited from the Nizam's Institute of Medical Sciences Blood Bank, Hyderabad. The subjects were apparently normal and without any clinical symptoms. They were screened for HIV and other blood borne infections which are listed as mandatory by the National Blood Transfusion guidelines criteria and were found to be negative. A questionnaire on TB and other chronic illness were part of the screening programme for blood donation and those without any of the mentioned problems were considered healthy blood donors [20].

Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assays (ELISAs): ELISAs were performed using Pathozymemyco kits and were referred to as ELISA I, II, III and an additional ESAT-6 ELISA was referred to ELISA IV for clarity.

ELISA-I, II and III for 38kDa-LAM: Serum IgG, IgM and IgA antibodies to 38kDa plus native LAM from M. tb was coated on an ELISA plate as a combined antigens in the kit [21,22]. The three ELISAs were numbered ELISA I-IgG antibody response to 38kDa-LAM; ELISA II - IgM antibody response to 38kDa-LAM and ELISA III - IgA antibody response to 38kDa-LAM. Briefly, antigen coated plates were dispensed with 100ul of 1:100 diluted patient serum and incubated for 1hr at 37°C; followed by 30 minutes incubation with conjugated secondary antibody at 37°C; and followed by incubation with substrate. Each step was interspersed with 5 cycle wash with wash buffer using Bio-Rad ELISA washer except for the final step of termination with stop solution. The colour developed was measured at 450 nm using a Bio-Rad microplate reader.

ELISA-IV for ESAT-6: Purified recombinant ESAT-6 was obtained from Colorado State University, USA through a TB research materials and vaccine testing contract (Gift from Dr. John S. Spencer, Colourado State University). Indirect ELISA was performed for ESAT-6 by coating 400ng per well on a Nunc ELISA plate (Cat # 167008) and incubated overnight. Unbound ESAT-6 antigen was removed and blocked with 20mM phosphate buffer saline pH 7.4 containing 2% BSA. The blocking step was followed by five wash cycles with 300ul of wash buffer. Then 100µl of diluted (1/100) serum samples were added to the wells and incubated for 60 min at

20-30°C. This step was followed by five wash cycles using Bio-Rad washer. The wells were subsequently incubated with a mix of 100µl of anti-human IgG, IgA and IgM horse radish peroxidase conjugate for 30 min at 26°C. After another wash cycle, 100µl of 3, 3', 5, 5'-tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) containing 0.6% hydrogen peroxide was added to the wells and the colourimetric reaction was placed for 15 min in the dark at 26°C. The reaction was terminated by 100µl of 3N sulphuric acid and the colour was read at an absorbance of 450 nm using a Bio-Rad microplate reader.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Individual optical densities (OD) obtained from the samples of each group were recorded and compared by one way ANOVA using SPSS 16. For ELISA I, II and III cut off values (according to manufacturer's instructions) were used to detect seropositivity. For ELISA IV, mean OD plus one standard deviation value of HS was used as cut off to detect seropositivity. The sensitivity and specificity of the assay was calculated as described by Toman in 1981[23]. All the four ELISA results of various subject groups tested were entered in an excel sheet to evaluate the value of any single or multiple ELISA seropositivities to ascertain sensitivities and specificities for TB detection.

RESULTS

HV infected group.

Antibody response to TB antigens by ELISAs: The antibody responses to TB antigens were evaluated by ELISA I, II, III and IV measuring IgG, IgM, IgA antibodies to 38kDa-LAM and ESAT-6 and the O.D values are presented in a scatter gram [Table/Fig-1].

The antibody levels in ELISA-I were significantly elevated (p<0.05) in HIV-TB {HIV-PTB (1.03±0.62) and HIV-ETB (1.09±0.69)} and TB with mean \pm SDs (1.12 \pm 0.72), as compared to HS (0.42 \pm 0.30) or HIV infected group (0.56±0.36). Similarly, antibody levels in ELISA-II were significantly elevated (p<0.05) in HIV-TB (HIV-PTB (0.69±0.4) and HIV-ETB (0.64 ± 0.53) and TB with mean \pm SDs (0.74 ± 0.40) , as compared to HS (0.22±0.15) or HIV infected group (0.29±0.14). ELISA-III levels were significantly elevated (p<0.05) in HIV-TB {HIV-PTB (0.67 ± 0.35) and HIV-ETB (0.64 ± 0.42)} and TB with mean \pm SDs (0.79±0.69), as compared to HS (0.28±0.18) or HIV infected group (0.25±0.16). ELISA-IV levels were significantly elevated (p<0.05) in HIV-TB {HIV-PTB (0.39±0.17) and HIV-ETB (0.39±0.17)} and TB with mean \pm SDs (0.57 \pm 0.40), as compared to HS (0.25 \pm 0.08) or HIV infected group (0.25±0.10) [Table/Fig-1]. There was no significant difference between HIV-TB and TB antibody levels (p>0.05) for all four ELISAs.

Sensitivity and Specificity of ELISAs: The sensitivities and specificities of ELISA I, II, III and IV for HIV-TB and TB groups are



Sensitivity		Specificity	
HIV-TB (n=124)	TB (n=39)	HIV (n=56)	HS* (n=57)
89(72%)	25(64%)	19(33%)	45(79%)
48(39%)	16(41%)	3(5%)	54(95%)
61(49%)	20(51%)	5(9%)	55(96%)
76(61%)	23(59%)	16(28%)	53(93%)
	HIV-TB (n=124) 89(72%) 48(39%) 61(49%)	HIV-TB (n=124) TB (n=39) 89(72%) 25(64%) 48(39%) 16(41%) 61(49%) 20(51%)	HIV-TB (n=124) TB (n=39) HIV (n=56) 89(72%) 25(64%) 19(33%) 48(39%) 16(41%) 3(5%) 61(49%) 20(51%) 5(9%)

[Table/Fig-2]: Sensitivity and Specificity of ELISAs in TB and HIV-TB groups. HIV-TB (n=124 of which HIV-PTB is 62, HIV-ETB is 62) and TB (n=39), HIV (n=56), HS (n=57). True positive values with sensitivities are presented in parenthesis for HIV-TB, TB & HIV subjects.* True negative value with specificities is presented in parenthesis for HS.

Subjects	ELISA Sensitivity					
	Any 1	Any 2	Any 3	Any 4		
HIV-TB (n=124)	116(93%)	88(71%)	53(43%)	18(14%)		
TB(n=39)	34(87%)	28(71%)	17(44%)	5(13%)		
HIV(n=56)	31(55%)	11(19%)	1(1.7%)	0(0%)		
HS (n=57)*	40(70%)	54(95%)	56(98%)	57(100%)		
[Table/Fig-3]: TB seropositivity by any single or multiple ELISAs in TB and HIV-TB subjects. HIV-TB (n=124 of which HIV-PTB is 62, HIV-ETB is 62) and TB (n=39), HIV (n=56), HS (n=57). True positive values with sensitivities are presented in parenthesis for HIV-TB, TB & HIV subjects.* True negative value with specificities is presented in parenthesis for HS.						

summarized in [Table/Fig-2]. The higher sensitivity was seen with ELISA-I in HIV-TB (72%) and TB group (64%) and the lowest with ELISA-II (39% in HIV-TB; 41% in TB). Further when HIV-TB infected individuals were categorized into HIV-PTB and HIV-ETB the tests showed a small range of sensitivity of 74% and 69% for ELISA-I; 41% and 35% for ELISA-II; 50% and 47% for ELISA-III and 62% and 60% for ELISA-IV respectively. The specificities ranged from 79% for ELISA-I to 96% for ELISA-III.

TB seropositivity by any single or multiple ELISAs: All the four ELISA results of the study subjects tested were studied to evaluate the value of any single or multiple ELISA seropositivity for TB detection [Table/Fig-3]. The highest sensitivity of 93% was observed in any one of the four ELISA's positives. This was followed by 87% sensitivity in the TB group.

When HIV-TB was further categorized into HIV-PTB and HIV-ETB any single ELISA showed a small range of sensitivity of 93% and 90%; any two ELISAs 74% and 71%; any three ELISAs 47% and 39% and for all four seropositive ELISAs 15% and 13% respectively. For HS, the true negative value is given in the [Table/Fig-3] with specificity in parenthesis.

DISCUSSION

The major factor influencing the control of TB is early diagnosis and treatment. Conventionally, the diagnosis of TB is based on clinical history, physical examination, chest radiograph and sputum microscopy. In addition to basic investigations, a number of additional tests have been developed which range from simple tuberculin skin test to more advanced tests such as rapid culture (BACTEC and Microscopic Observation Drug-Susceptibility Assay (MODS) [24]; TB polymerase chain reaction (PCR); Xpert MTB/ RIF) [25] and Interferon gamma release assays (IGRAs) have been developed for the diagnosis of TB and HIV-TB [26,27]. These tests are useful, however cost per test are high and skill and training are needed [28] which can be overcome by multiple antigen and antibody tests. Additionally, the costing of basic and alternative tests has been compared in the global scenario and is in the range of 3.2 to 20 USD/test [29,30]. In the present study all the four ELISAs together were found to be cost effective at about 15 USD per set of test and are in affordable range in resource limited settings.

The present study used the TB ELISA tests to detect TB in HIV co-infected individuals and in individuals with active TB [13–18]. Several serological tests have been researched on to improve sensitivity of TB diagnosis [31–34]. An earlier study with secretory protein antigens or extracellular proteins of M.tb which included a

combination of 38kDa, LAM, 6kDa have shown greater sensitivity and specificity as compared to independent antigen ELISAs in TB [8]. In the present study, the antibody responses did not show any significant difference between HIV-TB and TB patients [Table/Fig-1] and this could be attributed to the integrity of humoral response in HIV infection in spite of decreased cell mediated immunity [35,36]. Significantly elevated antibody levels in HIV-TB (PTB and ETB) and TB emphasizes the importance of ELISAs as an adjunct test along with gold standard diagnostic tests especially in HIV-TB. The antibody response was similar in pulmonary and extrapulmonary tuberculosis suggesting that HIV-TB can be considered as a single entity for ELISA tests.

Among ELISAs, the most frequently used antigens are 38kDa & LAM (IgG, IgA, and IgM) and their sensitivities range from 10% to 85% and specificity from 90% to 100% as published earlier [5]. In our study, sensitivity and specificity of these ELISAs in HIV-TB and TB was in the similar range as reported earlier [37–39].

Earlier studies on combination of antibodies IgG+IgM, IgG+IgA and IgM+IgA showed an increase in sensitivity ranging from 49-63% and specificity of 91-98%. The IgG+IgM+IgA antibody combination has shown a 68% sensitivity and 91% specificity [38,39]. These observations suggest a combination of antigens and antibodies could increase sensitivity and specificity of TB diagnosis. We also observe IgG, IgM and IgA immune responses in an individual infected with *M.tb* and combination could be considered for TB detection [40].

Individually, ELISA I, II, III and IV showed a limited sensitivity of 72%, 39%, 49% and 61% in HIV-TB [Table/Fig-2]. However, when any single ELISA seropositivity was considered (out of four ELISA tests) the sensitivity increased in HIV-TB to 92% (93% HIV-PTB & 90% HIV-ETB) with a specificity of 70% suggesting that it can be considered for screening tests in HIV-TB. The best optimized sensitivity and specificity was when two out of the four ELISAs were positive. The sensitivity was 72% in HIV-TB (74% in HIV-PTB, 71% in HIV-ETB), 71% in TB and with a specificity of 95% [Table/Fig-3]. When a combination of any three or four ELISA positivity was considered for TB detection, there was a decrease in sensitivity to 44% and 14% respectively but an increase of specificity to 98% and 100% [Table/ Fig-3]. Based on the specificities observed in our study, it could be deduced: When four ELISAs are positive (specificity 100%) in a patient-confirmed TB; when three ELISAs are positive (specificity 98%) – probably TB; when two ELISAs are positive (specificity 95%) - possibly TB and When one ELISA is positive (specificity 70%) suspicion of TB. In endemic areas, resource limited settings and in an individual case management scenario, multiple TB ELISAs could be considered for TB detection.

The sensitivity of the present study was in the similar range of earlier studies with 74% in HIV-PTB, and 71% in HIV-ETB and specificity of 95%. Earlier studies on ELISA tests in HIV-TB co-infection showed that 38-kDa+ LAM +16-kDa has a sensitivity of 80% and a specificity of 87% [41]. With38-kDa+CFP-10 the sensitivity was 59.3% with a specificity of 94% in HIV-TB subjects [9]. Tiwari et al., used a cocktail of four antigens (6, 27, 30 and 38 kDa) and found the sensitivity to be as high as 99% and a specificity of 100% in HIV-PTB patients [8]. Further research needs to be carried out to optimize the use of multiple ELISAs for HIV-PTB and HIV-ETB detection. There was also no significant difference in the CD4 counts between HIV-PTB and HIV-ETB suggesting that the decrease of CD4+ cell counts observed in either forms of HIV-TB does not affect TB detection and these can be used in both forms of TB.

The present study used well characterized antigens, 38kDa, LAM and ESAT-6 for HIV-TB detection by ELISA and supports an earlier evidence of increased sensitivities and specificities of TB detection [8,34]. An optimized combination of other TB antigens like cord factor, an 88-kDa antigen (MTB81) with potential early diagnosis in HIV-TB as an additional test should be further evaluated for multiple

ELISAs in HIV-TB [25]. Further studies on newer M.tb antigen combinations could help identify tests with high specificity and sensitivity.

CONCLUSION

The early diagnosis of tuberculosis and TB in HIV co-infection continues to be a priority. The detection of extrapulmonary TB is a diagnostic challenge. There is a continuing need to identify cost effective, sensitive and specific point of care serological tests for TB. The present study establishes the value of combining two or more M.tb antigen based ELISAs to enhance the sensitivity and specificity of TB detection in patients with tuberculosis as well as in those coinfected with HIV.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors gratefully thank the study participants. We thank ACET UK, Eli Lilly (Holistic TB intervention project), NOVOTEL/HICC and the clinical staff of Nireekshana ACET for their support. We thank Dr. Prasad VS, Dr. Ajay and Dr. Srinivasan (Government General Chest Hospital) for grating permission for this study and screening of patients. We also acknowledge Dr. Kayur Mehta (Apollo Institute of Medical Sciences and Research) for his valuable inputs in prepration of manuscript. Finally we thank Prasanna. M for helping in statistical analysis.

REFERENCES

- [1] WHO. Global Tuberculosis Report. 2014. Available from: http://www.who.int/tb/ publications/global report/en/
- WHO. Scaling up of collaborative TB/HIV activities in concentrated HIV epidemic [2] settings : A case study from India. 2014:8-9.
- Negi SS, Khan SF, Gupta S, Pasha ST, Khare S, Lal S. Comparison of the conventional [3] diagnostic modalities, bactec culture and polymerase chain reaction test for diagnosis of tuberculosis. Indian J Med Microbiol. 2005;23:29-33.
- Padmapriyadarsini C, Narendran G, Swaminathan S. Diagnosis & treatment of [4] tuberculosis in HIV co-infected patients. Indian J Med Res. 2011;134:850-65.
- [5] WHO. Commercial serological tests for diagnosis of tuberculosis. 2011. Available from: http://whalibdoc.who.int/hg/2011/WHO HTM TB 2011.14 eng.pdf
- [6] Steingart KR, Flores LL, Dendukuri N, Schiller I, Laal S, Ramsay A, et al. Commercial serological tests for the diagnosis of active pulmonary and extrapulmonary tuberculosis: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS Med. 2011;8:e1001062.
- [7] Steingart KR, Henry M, Laal S, Hopewell PC, Ramsay A, Menzies D, et al. A systematic review of commercial serological antibody detection tests for the diagnosis of extrapulmonary tuberculosis. Thorax. 2007:62:911-18.
- Tiwari D, Tiwari RP, Chandra R, Bisen PS, Haque S. Efficient ELISA for diagnosis [8] of active tuberculosis employing a cocktail of secretory proteins of Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Folia Biol. 2014;60:10-20.
- [9] Rao PVR, Murthy MK, Basirudeen S, Sharma P, Swaminathan S, Raja A. Improved diagnosis of tuberculosis in HIV-positive patients using RD1-encoded antigen CFP-10. Int J Infect Dis. 2009;13:613-22.
- [10] Steingart KR, Dendukuri N, Henry M, Schiller I, Nahid P, Hopewell PC, et al. Performance of purified antigens for serodiagnosis of pulmonary tuberculosis: a meta-analysis. Clin Vaccine Immunol. 2009;16:260-76.
- [11] Morris K. WHO recommends against inaccurate tuberculosis tests. Lancet. 2011;377:113-14.
- [12] World Health Organization. Global Tuberculosis Report 2015. Available at: http://apps. who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/191102/1/9789241565059 eng.pdf?ua=1
- [13] Bothamley GH, Beck JS, Schreuder GM, D'Amaro J, de Vries RR, Kardjito T, et al. Association of tuberculosis and M. tuberculosis-specific antibody levels with HLA. J Infect Dis. 1989;159:549-55
- [14] Harboe M, Wiker HG. The 38-kDa protein of Mycobacterium tuberculosis: a review. J Infect Dis. 1992;166:874-84.
- [15] Jackett PS, Bothamley GH, Batra H V, Mistry A, Young DB, Ivanyi J. Specificity of antibodies to immunodominant mycobacterial antigens in pulmonary tuberculosis. J Clin Microbiol. 1988;26:2313-18.

- [16] Wilkinson RJ, Haslov K, Rappuoli R, Giovannoni F, Narayanan PR, Desai CR, et al. Evaluation of the recombinant 38-kilodalton antigen of Mycobacterium tuberculosis as a potential immunodiagnostic reagent. J Clin Microbiol. 1997;35:553-57.
- [17] Nigou J, Gilleron M, Puzo G. Lipoarabinomannans: from structure to biosynthesis. Biochimie. 2003;85:153-66.
- [18] Brodin P, Rosenkrands I, Andersen P, Cole ST, Brosch R. ESAT-6 proteins: protective antigens and virulence factors? Trends Microbiol. 2004;12:500-08.
- [19] Revised National TB Control Programme (RNTCP). TB INDIA 2014. Annual status report. Available from: http://www.tbcindia.nic.in/Pdfs/TB%20INDIA%202014.pdf.
- National AIDS Control Organization (NACO). Annual Report 2013-14. Avaiable from: [20] http://www.naco.gov.in/upload/2014%20mslns/NACO_English%202013-14.pdf
- [21] Sada E, Brennan PJ, Herrera T, Torres M. Evaluation of lipoarabinomannan for the serological diagnosis of tuberculosis. J Clin Microbiol. 1990;28:2587-90.
- [22] Singh M, Andersen AB, McCarthy JE, Rohde M, Schutte H, Sanders E, et al. The Mycobacterium tuberculosis 38-kDa antigen: overproduction in Escherichia coli, purification and characterization. Gene. 1992:117:53-60.
- [23] Toman K. Sensitivity, specificity and predictive value of diagnostic tests, Bull Int Union Tuberc, 1981:56:19-30.
- [24] Solomon S, Balakrishnan P, Vignesh R, Waldrop G, Solomon SS, Murugavel KG, et al. A rapid and low-cost microscopic observation drug susceptibility assay for detecting TB and MDR-TB among individuals infected by HIV in South India. Indian J Med Microbiol. 2013:31:130-37.
- [25] World Health Organization. Automated real-time nucleic acid amplification technology for rapid and simultaneous detection of tuberculosis and rifampicin resistance: Xpert MTB/RIF assay for the diagnosis of pulmonary and extrapulmonary TB in adults and children. Policy update. WHO 2013.
- [26] Campbell JR, Krot J, Elwood K, Cook V, Marra F. A systematic review on TST and IGRA tests used for diagnosis of LTBI in immigrants. Mol Diagn Ther. 2015;19:9-24.
- [27] WHO World Health Organization. Use of tuberculosis release assays (IGRAs) in lowand middle-income countries. 2011:1-70.
- [28] Desikan P. Sputum smear microscopy in tuberculosis: is it still relevant? Indian J Med Res. 2013:137:442-44.
- [29] Dowdy DW, Steingart KR, Pai M. Serological Testing Versus Other Strategies for Diagnosis of Active Tuberculosis in India: A Cost-Effectiveness Analysis. PLoS Med. 2011;8:e1001074.
- [30] Little KM, Pai M, Dowdy DW. Costs and Consequences of Using Interferon-y Release Assays for the Diagnosis of Active Tuberculosis in India. PLoS One. 2015.10.e0124525
- [31] Tiwari RP, Tiwari D, Garg SK, Chandra R, Bisen PS. Glycolipids of Mycobacterium tuberculosis strain H37Rv are potential serological markers for diagnosis of active tuberculosis. Clin Diagn Lab Immunol. 2005;12:465-73.
- [32] Abebe F, Holm-Hansen C, Wiker HG, Bjune G. Progress in serodiagnosis of Mycobacterium tuberculosis infection. Scand J Immunol. 2007;66:176-91.
- [33] Kumar G, Dagur PK, Singh PK, Shankar H, Yadav VS, Katoch VM, et al. Serodiagnostic efficacy of Mycobacterium tuberculosis 30/32-kDa mycolyl transferase complex, ESAT-6, and CFP-10 in patients with active tuberculosis. Arch Immunol Ther Exp. 2010:58:57-65.
- [34] Wu X, Yang Y, Zhang J, Li B, Liang Y, Zhang C, et al. Humoral immune responses against the Mycobacterium tuberculosis 38-kilodalton, MTB48, and CFP-10/ESAT-6 antigens in tuberculosis. Clin Vaccine Immunol. 2010;17:372-75.
- [35] Kroon FP, van Dissel JT, Labadie J, van Loon AM, van Furth R. Antibody response to diphtheria, tetanus, and poliomyelitis vaccines in relation to the number of CD4+ T lymphocytes in adults infected with human immunodeficiency virus. Clin Infect Dis. 1995;21:1197-203.
- Janoff EN, Hardy WD, Smith PD, Wahl SM. Humoral recall responses in HIV infection. [36] Levels, specificity, and affinity of antigen-specific loG, J Immunol, 1991;147;2130-35.
- [37] D'Alessandro A, de Waard JH. Evaluation of two commercial tests for the serodiagnosis of pulmonary tuberculosis. Rev Chil Infectol. 2008;25:37-40.
- [38] Imaz MS, Comini MA, Zerbini E, Sequeira MD, Latini O, Claus JD, et al. Evaluation of commercial enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay kits for detection of tuberculosis in Argentinean population, J Clin Microbiol, 2004;42:884-87.
- [39] Julian E, Matas L, Alcaide J, Luquin M. Comparison of antibody responses to a potential combination of specific glycolipids and proteins for test sensitivity improvement in tuberculosis serodiagnosis. Clin Diagn Lab Immunol. 2004;11:70-76
- [40] Janeway CA, Travers P, Walport M, Shlomchik MJ. The distribution and functions of immunoalobulin isotypes, 2001.
- [41] Raju R, Suneetha S, Sagili K, Meher VC, Saraswathi V, Satyanarayana AV, et al. Diagnostic role of the antibody response to the 38kDa, 16kDa proteins and lipoarabinomannan of Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Indian J Clin Biochem. 2005;20:123-28.

PARTICULARS OF CONTRIBUTORS:

- Research Scholar, Nirekshana-CODEWEL & Centre for Biotechnology, Acharya Nagarjuna University, Nagarjuna Nagar, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India.
- Research Scholar, Nirekshana-CODEWEL & Centre for Biotechnology, Acharya Nagarjuna University, Nagarjuna Nagar, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India. 2 З.
- Research Scholar, Nirekshana-CODEWEL & Centre for Biotechnology, Acharya Nagarjuna University, Nagarjuna Nagar, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India.
- Professor and Head, Department of Biochemistry, Nizam's Institute of Medical Sciences, Punjagutta, Hyderabad, Telangana, India. 4. 5.
- Director, CODEWEL-Nireekshana-ACET (AIDS Care Education and Training), Hyderabad, Telangana, India. Research Director, CODEWEL-Nireekshana-ACET (AIDS Care Education and Training), Hyderabad, Telangana, India. 6.

NAME, ADDRESS, E-MAIL ID OF THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: Dr. Lavanya M Suneetha

CODEWEL-Nireekshana-ACET, 3-5-170/2, Besides Shanthi Theatre Narayanguda, Hyderabad, Telangana-500029, India. E-mail : drlavanyasuneetha@gmail.com

Date of Submission: Aug 31, 2015 Date of Peer Review: Oct 09, 2015 Date of Acceptance: Dec 22, 2015 Date of Publishing: Feb 01, 2016

FINANCIAL OR OTHER COMPETING INTERESTS: None.